

USE OF ENGINEERING TOOLS CASE HISTORIES

Phillip Spann



COMPANY PROFILE

- SMALL BUSINESS
- MINORITY AND WOMAN OWNED
- ALABAMA CORP. ESTABLISHED IN 1993
- 75+ EMPLOYEES
- PROVIDES TECHNICAL & PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SERVICES
- CUSTOMERS ARE DoD, NASA, U.S. ARMY CoE, & INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY





CAPABILITY PROFILE

- SYSTEM ENGINEERING & TECHNICAL
 ASSISTANCE
- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS
- SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
- PROGRAM & DATA MANAGEMENT
- LOGISTICS SUPPORT
- PAYLOAD DEVELOPMENT AND
 INTEGRATION
- MISSION SUPPORT



SUPPORT OF US ARMY AMCOM

- ANALYZE REQUIREMENTS
- VALIDATE DESIGNS VIA BREADBOARD
- DESIGN CONCEPTS & AUTHOR SPECS
- GENERATE MECHANICAL DESIGNS
- DEVELOP ELECTRICAL SCHEMATICS
- DESIGN PCB'S & ELECTRONIC ASSY's
- DEVELOP & CONDUCT ACCEPTANCE TESTS



CIRCUIT BOARD DESIGN

- TECHNICAL SUPPORT TO AMCOM
- REVERSE ENGINEERING
- UPDATE OBSOLETE DESIGNS
- PROVIDE NEW DESIGNS
- DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURE
- ANALYTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS
- CAM SUPPORT FOR PROTOTYPE LAB

Test Case: Missile Power Board *Current Situation* (before analysis tools):

• Built prototype 3 times.

• Had design changes & warpage problems.





NEXT TIME: USE OF ENGINEERING TOOLS WARPAGE MODEL

- DESIGN THICK 10 LAYER BOARD
- CONSTRUCTION IS A VARIABLE
- DEVELOP SEVERAL OPTIONS FOR THE MULTILAYER CONSTRUCTION
- REFINE THE DESIGN BY EVALUATING THE RELATIVE WARPAGE OF EACH
- CHOOSE THE MOST STABLE DESIGN



USE OF ENGINEERING TOOLS LAY- UP MODEL

- DEVELOP AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE MATERIAL VARIABLES USED IN MANUFACTURING
- HELP SPEED UP THE DESIGN
 PROCESS
- PERMIT THE QUICK CHECK OF
 DIFFERENT DESIGN THICKNESS

Layup Design Alternatives

Initial Design

Re-Design (thinner)

PWB Layup Design : Detailed Layup								👹 PWB Layup Design : Detailed Layup							
								File							
	LayerId	Min Thickness	Normal Thickness	Max Thickness	Layer Function	La Ca	yur In		Layer Id	Min Thickness	Normal Thickness	Max Thickness	Layer Function	Ê	Layı Car
/er1	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Comp Side		nd	Layer 1	2.00	▼ 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Comp Side		Cor
re1	L210150C2/C2AC	• 0.0125	0.015	0.0175	Core	Ge	ne	Core1	L210080C2/C2AC	• 0.0060	0.0080	0.01	Core		Ger
er 2	2.00	- 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal	Die	ele	Layer 2	2.00	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		Die
preg1	1080*3	• 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg	IP(D-4	Prepreg1	1080*3	• 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg		IPC
/er3	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal	Die	ele	Layer 3	2.00	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		Diel
re 2	L210150C2/C2AC	▼ 0.0125	0.015	0.0175	Core	Alli	ied	Core2	L210080C2/C2AC	• 0.0060	0.0080	0.01	Core		Allie
/er4	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		1	Layer 4	2.00	▼ 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		
preg2	1080*3	▼ 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg		1	Prepreg2	1080*3	▼ 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg		
er 5	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Plane			Layer 5	2.00	▼ 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Plane		
ne 3	L210150C2/C2AC	▼ 0.0125	0.015	0.0175	Core		1	Core3	L210080C2/C2AC	▼ 0.0060	0.0080	0.01	Core		
/er 6	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Plane			Layer 6	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Plane		
preg3	1080*3	• 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg			Prepreg3	1080*3	• 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg		
/er7	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal			Layer7	2.00	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		
re 4	L210150C2/C2AC	• 0.0125	0.015	0.0175	Core			Core4	L210080C2/C2AC	• 0.0060	0.0080	0.01	Core		
/er8	2.00	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal			Layer8	2.00	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		
preg4	1080*3	• 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg		7	Prepreg4	1080*3	• 0.0060	0.0069	0.0078	Prepreg		
er9	2.00	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal			Layer9	2.00	▼ 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Signal		
re5	L210150C2/C2AC	• 0.0125	0.015	0.0175	Core		8	Core5	L210080C2/C2AC	• 0.0060	0.0080	0.01	Core		
/er10	2.00	v 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Solder			Layer10	2.00	▼ 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	Solder		
						-	3							-	<u>i</u>]
	Nesting factor Total Post-Lamination Nom Thick: 0.11715999999999999 Run PWB Warpag Nesting factor Total Post-Lamination Nom Thick: 0.08216 Run PWB Warpag 1.0 Coefficient of Thermal Bending: 3.9064225924153626E-7 I.0 Total Post-Lamination Nom Thick: 0.08216 Run PWB Warpag														
	<	<previous< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>(8)</th><th>xit</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th><< Previous</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>exit</th><th></th></previous<>			(8)	xit				<< Previous				exit	

Analysis Results: Re-Design

Thinner board gives better PTH aspect ratio (t/d), but may cause warpage problem. Checked that warpage is still same ball-park.

PWB Warpage Analysis				
File Help				
PWB Thermal Bending Model PWB Total Diagonal Coef. Thermal Bending (∞b) Temperature Change Warpage Warpage Ratio Margin of Safety	(1D Formulae) 16.401219466856727 3.90642570912907E-7 175 0.19235877641971869 0.011728321592698253 -0.36052230997235757	PWB Layup		
Calculat	e Results		X	
PWB Plane Strain Model	(2D FEA)	PWA / B Parameters		
Initial Temperature	25	Description	A String	
Final Temperature	200	PWA Part#	A String	
Temperature Change	175.0	PWB Part#	ABC_9230	
FEA Min Elem Div	2	PWB Pre-Lamination Thickness	0.095599999999999995	
FEA Aspect Ratio	4	PWB Post-Lamination Thickness	0.08216	
Max Stress XX	2374.13	PWB Total Width	10.0	
Min Stress XX	-6879.96	PWB Total Length	13.0	
Local Warpage	3.688E-4	Allowable Warpage Ratio	0.0075	
Warpage Ratio	0.0022444011684518016			
Margin of Safety	2.3416485900216917			
Create FEA Input	View FEA Input			
Calculate FEA Results	View Graphical Results			



CONCLUSIONS

- DESIGN GROUP IS A SMALL GROUP
- WE NEED TECHNICAL TOOLS
- U ENGINEER HAS PROVIDED AN AWARENESS OF TECHNICAL HELP
- ENGINEERING TOOLS WILL ASSIST THE DESIGNER TO CREATE A PRODUCT THAT SHOULD BE EASIER TO MANUFACTURE